Defensive equities: lower volatility is not capital protection

Portfolio construction | Research note | 11 November 2026

Executive assessment

Minimum-volatility equities are an equity allocation, not a guarantee against loss. Their purpose is to reduce variability relative to a broad equity market, while retaining exposure to that market. A smoother return path and a higher absolute return are different objectives. [1, 2]

The central distinction

A portfolio can carry less day-to-day risk yet still suffer a substantial peak-to-trough loss. Volatility measures the dispersion of returns; drawdown measures the decline from a prior peak. Neither an ETF label nor a history of lower volatility establishes a floor under capital.

Hakeema interpretation

The appropriate question is not whether a defensive sleeve will always beat the S&P 500. It is whether its exposures, costs and potential return sacrifice are consistent with the investor’s liabilities, investment horizon and tolerance for loss. Relative performance and capital preservation must be evaluated separately.

Why this matters now

The supplied Fidelity Q4 2026 presentation separates tactical, business-cycle and secular influences. That framework is useful for distinguishing near-term market positioning from a lasting allocation decision. This note uses the framework, not its market forecasts, as the organizing principle. [3]

Three decisions before choosing a fund

Analysis | Investment mandate and implementation

1 / Define the mandate

Specify the benchmark, investment horizon, liquidity needs and acceptable loss before choosing securities. A goal of lower volatility does not imply a goal of positive returns in every period. A cash obligation should not be funded on the assumption that defensive equities will remain stable.

2 / Separate the horizons

Tactical decisions address near-term conditions; business-cycle decisions address changing growth and inflation regimes; secular decisions address long-run structural change. Fidelity’s framework distinguishes all three. A short-term defensive tilt should not silently become a permanent strategic allocation. [3, p. 11]

3 / Examine the actual exposures

Minimum volatility, quality, dividend and defensive-sector strategies are not interchangeable. Review the fund’s index methodology, holdings, sector concentration and valuation exposure. Similar-sounding funds can respond differently to interest rates, earnings shocks and liquidity stress.

A MENA investor’s additional checks

Establish the portfolio’s base currency and spending currency; assess currency risk, access costs and the tax treatment of the chosen fund domicile for the investor’s circumstances. Where Shariah compliance is required, verify the fund’s screening methodology separately. A low-volatility label is not a Shariah certification.

Decision rule

Use a defensive equity sleeve only where its role is explicit. If the objective is to meet a known cash need or impose a contractual capital floor, equity factor selection alone does not establish that protection.

Evidence, scope and limitations

Research methodology | Source notes

What this note does — and does not — establish

This is qualitative portfolio-construction commentary, not a model portfolio, a performance backtest or a recommendation to buy a specific ETF. No allocation weights, return targets or expected savings are proposed.

Why the supplied performance figures are excluded

The supplied consolidated findings explicitly state that real-market validation is blocked and that annual-return proxies are not a backtest. Its headline description of “flat or down” years also includes two positive index-return years. The defensive-ETF document contains partially unreadable numerical tables. These limitations do not support republishing those results as independently verified performance. [4, 5]

Sources

[1] iShares, USMV official fund page; reviewed 11 November 2026.
[2] iShares, “Minimum volatility 101: The basics and its role in portfolios”, updated 5 June 2024.
[3] Fidelity Investments (AART), Quarterly Market Update, Fourth Quarter 2026, supplied by the reader; pp. 3 and 11. The two supplied Fidelity files are identical; counted as one source. Publisher provenance and underlying market data have not been independently authenticated.
[4] Supplied Low-Volatility Equity Strategy — Consolidated Findings, pp. 1–4; used for limitations only.
[5] Supplied Defensive ETF Portfolio, pp. 1–2; used for limitations only.

Public source links

USMV: https://www.ishares.com/us/products/239695/
Education:
https://www.ishares.com/us/investor-education/investment-strategies/what-is-minimum-volatility-investing

Disclosure

Independent Hakeema commentary. No affiliation with or endorsement by Fidelity or BlackRock is implied. General information only; not personalized investment, tax or legal advice. Investments can lose value; past performance does not guarantee future results.